## Findon Parish Council

## Minutes of Neighbourhood Plan Steering Group meeting held Monday 16 February 2015 at 7.00pm, The Gun PH

<u>Present</u>: Cllrs Havenhand, Kirk (Chair) & Mackerell, <u>In Attendance</u>: Richard Bell, Maureen Chaffe (Consultant), Jacqui Greaney (Minutes Secretary), Tarquin Taylor, Ed Wain

Item No		Action By
14.89	Apologies for Absence	
	Apologies received from Cllr Prior, Steve Flitton, Nick Gore & Ruth Taylor.	
14.90	Approval of the Minutes 12 January 2015	
	The minutes were approved.	
14.91	Matters Arising	
	<ul> <li>14.83 - The survey of parish flint walls is 90% complete, including photos which are to be sent to MC who will plot and log online.</li> <li>14.86 - MC has completed mapping the list of TPOs. (At a later date, the plan is to physically identify trees still in existence.)</li> </ul>	PK, RB & volunteer s
14.92	General Updates	
	<ul> <li>Website management continues with RB liaising regularly with MC.</li> <li>Liaison with SDNPA/ADC - the next SDNP Neighbourhood Plan workshop is on Thursday 26 February, 1-4pm at Midhurst. To find out "what examiners are looking for". PK and RB to attend.</li> <li>The Landscaping Study is now complete with final comments incorporated. David Hare's final invoice has been submitted.</li> </ul>	RB PK, RB
14.93	Update of Topic Group Draft Objectives & Policies	
	<ul> <li>Environment &amp; Sustainability - EW noted that the Village School uses PV solar panels, and the Village Pool uses an air source heat pump, all to great effect.</li> <li>It was agreed that the item 'potential for business websites' is not really an objective.</li> </ul>	

Housing & Design - Findon SHLAA	
• It was noted that the South Downs SHLAA has just been	
published, with details distributed for info. There is much	
similarity with the Housing Group's SHLAA, but there are some	
notable differences.	
• The Housing Group's SHLAA & Appraisal Summary was tabled	
and discussed. It was explained that all land parcels shown in	
the Landscape Study but not included on the appraisal	
summary had been <u>rejected</u> , mainly due to visual impact. The	
seven proposed land parcels were considered feasible for	
development. A maximum of 29-34 new houses could be	
accommodated, but it is felt more likely to end up closer to	
15-20. The Steering Group approved the appraisal.	
• There was discussion about the inclusion of the Wyevale site,	
part of which the SDNPA SHLAA concludes 'has potential'. It	
was decided <i>not</i> to include this in the Findon SHLAA because of	
its importance as a commercial/employment site, because it is	
part of the valued Findon Gap and because it is actually	
separated (by the A24) from the settlement. However, JH	
noted the email from Cllr Wood regarding Wyevale & the sites	
west of the A24 & thought she was probably right that this	
<ul> <li>might be favoured over greenfield sites elsewhere.</li> <li>There was discussion on Findon's perceived lack of retirement</li> </ul>	
There was discussion on thirdon's perceived tack of retirement	
flats and affordable 2-3 bed family homes. A 'Lifetime	
Standard' was to be encouraged (planning ahead such as with	
<ul> <li>wheelchair access).</li> <li>The location of the proposed affordable homes was discussed</li> </ul>	
• The location of the proposed affordable homes was discussed, as was whether limiting individual developments numbers	
made this financially viable. The Housing Group overall	
believed this could be achieved by specifying that planning	МС
permission would be given for eg. four market value houses	MC
built with two affordable houses. MC pointed out that what we	
are proposing for affordable homes is proportionately greater	
than that prescribed by Arun. It was agreed our rationale is	
tied to Local Need/Connections and we must be able to	
demonstrate this.	
• MC recommended contacting the "Community Land Trust"	
which helps to keep affordable land prices and will invite us to	
attend a meeting to understand their processes. She thinks	
ours could be the first Neighbourhood Plan to commit to 50%	
affordable housing meeting local need. Regarding the proposal	
to take advice from an 'Enabler' (possibly from Arun) on how to	
deliver affordable homes, MC suggested waiting for the result	PK, TT,
from the public consultation first, to clarify whether such	MĆ, JH,
development is even required.	EW,
Consultation Strategy	
<ul> <li>Concern was expressed about the consultation strategy, and</li> </ul>	
whether there should be two separate consultations, one for	
those directly affected by any development (neighbours $m{a}$	
landowners) and a second for the parish as a whole. People	MC
must feel that they are being given options and feel genuinely	
consulted. Information must be given with care and sensitivity,	
with no bias or 'done deal' accusations. It was agreed to set	
up a small team (PK, TT, JH, MC & EW) to consider & develop	
the public consultation strategy.	
<ul> <li>MC to draft a consultation proposal for this team's</li> </ul>	
consideration.	
• One option would be have a single public presentation of the	
draft Neighbourhood Plan, with those directly affected by	
potential development individually invited (by the Parish	
Council) to a preview ahead of the main meeting, in order to	DI/
ask any questions.	РК
<ul> <li>The event must be widely publicised around the village.</li> </ul>	

14.95	Any Other Business None	
14.96	<ul> <li><u>Next Tasks</u></li> <li>Complete the survey of parish flint walls</li> <li>Book FVH for possible Public Consultation on Sat 28 March, 2-5pm</li> <li>Write notice of event for Findon Village News</li> <li>Arrange event publicity throughout month of March</li> <li>Consultation Strategy Planning Meeting</li> </ul>	PK, RB PK PK PK Team
	<u>Dates of Next Meeting</u> Wednesday 11 March 2015 - 7.00pm at The Gun Inn	

Meeting closed at 9.20pm.